Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER D—WATER PROGRAMS

PART 133—SECONDARY TREATMENT INFORMATION

On April 30, 1973, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER that the Environmental Protection Agency was proposing information on secondary treatment pursuant to section 304(d)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (the Act). Reference should be made to the preamble of the proposed rulemaking for a description of the purposes and intended use of the regulation.

Written comments on the proposed rulemaking were invited and received from interested parties. The Environmental Protection Agency has carefully considered all comments received. All written comments are on file with the Agency.

The regulation has been reorganized and rewritten to improve clarity. Major changes that were made as a result of comments received are summarized below:

(a) The terms "1-week" and "1-month" as used in § 133.102 (a) and (b) of the proposed rulemaking have been changed to 7 consecutive days and 30 consecutive days respectively (See § 133.102 (a), (b), and (c)).

(b) Some comments indicated that the proposed rulemaking appeared to require 85 percent removal of biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids only in cases when a treatment works would treat a substantial portion of extremely high strength industrial waste (See § 133.102(g) of the proposed rulemaking). The intent was that in no case should the percentage removal of biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids in a 30 day period be less than 85 percent. This has been clarified in the regulation. In addition, it has been expressed as percent remaining rather than percent removal calculated using the arithmetic means of the values for influent and effluent samples collected in a 30 day period (See § 133.102(a) and (b)).

(c) Comments were made as to the difficulty of achieving 85 percent removal of biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids during wet weather for treatment works receiving flows from combined sewer systems. Recognizing this, a paragraph was added which will allow waiver or adjustment of that requirement on a case-by-case basis (See § 133.103(a)).

(d) The definition of a 24-hour composite sample (See § 133.102(c) of the proposed rulemaking) was deleted from the regulation. The sampling requirements for publicly owned treatment works will be established in guidelines issued pursuant to sections 304(g) and 402 of the Act.

(e) In § 133.103 of the proposed rulemaking, it was recognized that secondary treatment processes are subject to upsets over which little or no control may be exercised. This provision has been deleted. It is no longer considered necessary in this regulation since procedures for notice and review of upset incidents will be included in discharge permits issued pursuant to section 402 of the Act.

(f) Paragraph (f) of § 133.102 of the proposed rulemaking, which relates to treatment works which receive substantial portions of high strength industrial wastes, has been rewritten for clarity. In addition, a provision has been added which limits the use of the upwards adjustment provision to only those cases in which the flow or loading from an industry category exceeds 10 percent of the design flow or loading of the treatment works. This intended to reduce or eliminate the administrative burden which would be involved in making insignificant adjustments in the biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids criteria (See § 133.103(b)).

The major comments for which changes were not made are discussed below:

(a) Comments were received which recommended that the regulation be written to allow effluent limitations to be based on the treatment necessary to meet water quality standards. No change has been made in the regulations because the Act and its legislative history clearly show that the regulation is to be based on the capabilities of secondary treatment technology and not ambient water quality effects.

(b) A number of comments were received which pointed out that waste stabilization ponds alone are not generally capable of achieving the proposed effluent quality in terms of suspended solids and fecal coliform bacteria. A few commenters expressed the opposite view. The Agency is of the opinion that with proper design (including solids separation processes and disinfection in some cases) and operation, the level of effluent quality specified can be achieved with waste stabilization ponds. A technical bulletin will be published in the near future which will provide guidance on the design and operation of waste stabilization ponds.

(c) Disinfection must be employed in order to achieve the fecal coliform bacteria levels specified. A few commenters argued that disinfectant is not a secondary treatment process and therefore the fecal coliform bacteria requirements should be deleted. No changes were made because disinfection is considered by the Agency to be an important element of secondary treatment which is necessary for protection of public health (See § 133.102(c)).

*Effective date.* These regulations shall become effective on August 17, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES,
*Acting Administrator*

AUGUST 14, 1973.

Chapter I of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new Part 133 as follows:

Sec.
133.100 Purpose.
133.101 Authority.
133.102 Secondary treatment.
133.103 Special considerations.
133.104 Sampling and test procedures.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 304(f)(1), 301(b)(1)(B), Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments, 1972, P.L. 92-500.

**§ 133.100 Purpose.**

This part provides information on the level of effluent quality attainable through the application of secondary treatment.

**§ 133.101 Authority.**

The information contained in this Part is provided pursuant to sections 304(d)(1) and 301(b)(1)(B) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 (the Act).

**§ 133.102 Secondary treatment.**

The following paragraphs describe the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of the parameters biochemical oxygen demand, suspended solids, fecal coliform bacteria and pH. All requirements for each parameter shall be achieved except as provided for in § 133.103.

(a) *Biochemical oxygen demand (five-day).* (1) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 30 milligrams per liter.

(2) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of seven consecutive days shall not exceed 45 milligrams per liter.

(3) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 15 percent of the arithmetic mean of the values for influent samples collected at approximately the same times during the same period (85 percent removal).

(b) *Suspended solids.* (1) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 30 milligrams per liter.

(2) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of seven consecutive days shall not exceed 45 milligrams per liter.

(3) The arithmetic mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 15 percent of the arithmetic mean of the values for influent samples collected at approximately the same times during the same period (85 percent removal).

(c) *Fecal coliform bacteria.* (1) The geometric mean of the value for effluent samples collected in a period of 30 consecutive days shall not exceed 200 per 100 milliliters.

(2) The geometric mean of the values for effluent samples collected in a period of seven consecutive days shall not exceed 400 per 100 milliliters.

(d) *pH*. The effluent values for pH shall remain within the limits of 6.0 to 9.0.

**§ 133.103 Special considerations.**

(a) *Combined sewers*. Secondary treatment may not be capable of meeting the percentage removal requirements of paragraphs (a)(3) and (b)(3) of § 133.102 during wet weather in treatment works which receive flows from combined sewers (sewers which are designed to transport both storm water and sanitary sewage). For such treatment works, the decision must be made on a case-by-case basis as to whether any attainable percentage removal level can be defined, and if so, what that level should be.

(b) *Industrial wastes*. For certain industrial categories, the discharge to navigable waters of biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids permitted under sections 301(b)(1)(A)(i) or 306 of the Act may be less stringent than the values given in paragraphs (a)(1) and (b)(1) of § 133.102. In cases when wastes would be introduced from such an industrial category into a publicly owned treatment works, the values for biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids in paragraphs (a)(1) and (b)(1) of § 133.102 may be adjusted upwards provided that: (1) the permitted discharge of such pollutants, attributable to the industrial category, would not be greater than that which would be permitted under sections 301(b)(1)(a)(i) or 306 of the Act if such industrial category were to discharge directly into the navigable waters, and (2) the flow or loading of such pollutants introduced by the industrial category exceeds 10 percent of the design flow or loading of the publicly owned treatment works. When such an adjustment is made, the values for biochemical oxygen demand or suspended solids in paragraphs (a)(2) and (b)(2) of § 133.102 should be adjusted proportionally.

**§ 133.104 Sampling and test procedures.**

(a) Sampling and test procedures for pollutants listed in § 133.102 shall be in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Administrator pursuant to sections 304(g) and 402 of the Act.

(b) Chemical oxygen demand (COD) or total organic carbon (TOC) may be substituted for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) when a long-term BOD:COD or BOD:TOC correlation has been demonstrated.

[FR Doc.73-17194 Filed 8-16-73;8:45 am]